



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

6
6/26/02

JUN 26 2002

Paper No. 6

John A. Hamilton
SHOATE, HALL & STEWART
Exchange Place
53 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

In re Application of)
SINGER et al.)
Application No. 09/779,912)
Filed: February 8, 2001)
For: DYNAMIC SYSTEM CONTROL)
METHOD)

**DECISION ON PETITION
FOR ACCELERATED
EXAMINATION UNDER
M.P.E.P. §708.02(VIII)**

This is a decision on the Request for Reconsideration of Petition to Make Special under MPEP § 708.02 filed April 12, 2002.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item VIII: Accelerated Examination.

The petition complies with M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item VIII: Accelerated Examination, in that it is accompanied by (a) the required petition fee of \$130.00, (b) a statement that all claims are directed a single invention or an offer to make an oral election without traverse should the Patent and Trademark Office hold that the claims are not directed to a single invention, (c) a statement that a pre-examination search has been made, (d) one copy of each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims, and (e) a detailed description of the submitted references and discussions pointing out how the claimed subject matter distinguishes over these references.

Applicant's submission meets all the criteria set out above, accordingly, the Petition is **GRANTED**.

If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, and he/she should make a rigid search for such, he/she is authorized to do so for the next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a bona fide effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

If the examiner finds any interfering application for the same subject matter, he/she should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such

application that he/she is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference.

Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application becomes involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

After allowance, this application will be given priority for printing. See M.P.E.P. § 1309.

The application file is being forwarded to the Examiner of Record for accelerated examination according to the procedures set forth in M.P.E.P. §708.02, Section VIII.



Vincent N. Trans
Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 2100
Computer Architecture, Software,
and Electronic Commerce
(703) 305-9750